

## APPENDIX 1 – LOCAL MEETING MINUTES

### DC/21/121739 – 107 Jerningham Road, SE13, virtual local meeting held on 8 September 2021 – Meeting Minutes

#### Introductions

The virtual local meeting started at 7pm and was hosted by the applicant and the owner/occupant of the application site, Nigel Broome. Representing Council's planning department was case officer Jesenka Ozdalga. Cllr Millbank (Chair) introduced the local meeting and case officer gave introduction on the purpose of the local meeting. Two representatives of amenity societies were present at the meeting. The questions from local residents were presented by Telegraph Hill Society representative.

There were no questions submitted prior to the meeting.

#### Questions submitted during the meeting were the following:

1. *Committee dates to be confirmed. Planning officer responded that the application would be on committee agenda either on 30 September or 26 October 2021.*
2. *Question was raised on the introduction of a modern path eroding restoration of original features and applicant responded that there is no such requirement in policy for original features to be reinstated.*
3. *Question was raised over what changes have been done to address all issues raised for this application and applicant responded that proposed reinstatement of low brick boundary wall is a significant change and added that no original features were removed, on contrary Victorian railings and slate roof were reinstated.*
4. *Question was raised over the purpose of large area of hardstanding proposed without application for cross over and whether there is an intention of submitting such application in the future. The applicant responded that area of hardstanding is pre-existing and cargo bike is currently parked there for which the cross over is not mandatory. The applicant also responded that they may or may not submit an application for cross over and that is something that will be assessed on its own merits.*
5. *Question was asked over what has council done to ensure retention and reinstatement of original front path and hardstanding. Planning officer responded that as far as there is evidence available, the pre-existing front path and hardstanding were made of concrete and in poor condition. Even though, what is considered the original front path paving for this area was not reinstated, the re-paving as installed was not considered to cause further harm to the character of the host building and conservation area compared to what was there before. It is also noted that other features were reinstated to improve appearance of the front such as railings and re-paving of the entrance steps.*

Meeting was concluded by Councillor Millbank at 7.30pm.